



Estimating Copper, Manganese and Zinc Micronutrients in Fungicide Applications¹

Bee Ling Poh, Amanda Gevens, Eric Simonne, and Crystal Snodgrass²

Micronutrients such as boron (B), chlorine (Cl), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), molybdenum (Mo) and zinc (Zn) are essential for plant growth. "Essential" means that when micronutrient supply is insufficient, plants may develop deficiency symptoms and yields may be reduced.

Because micronutrients are needed in relatively small quantities (a few pounds per acre compared to several tens or hundreds pounds per acre for macronutrients) and because excessive micronutrient applications may result in toxicity, all micronutrient applications should be taken into account when developing fertilizer programs for vegetable crops.

The University of Florida/Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (UF/IFAS) recommendations for micronutrient application are based on the results of pre-season soil tests (Table 1). In addition, plant nutritional status in micronutrients may be determined during the growing season with leaf analysis (Simonne and Hochmuth 2009, Hochmuth *et al.*, 2004).

When soil test or tissue analysis indicate that micronutrients are needed, sources that are commonly used include boric acid for B, copper sulfate or copper chloride for Cu, iron sulfate or chelates for Fe, manganese sulfate or manganese chloride for Mn, molybdic acid or molybdenum oxide for Mo, and zinc sulfate or zinc chloride for Zn. However, because micronutrients such as Cu, Mn and Zn are also present in several fungicides, vegetable growers may wonder whether they still need to apply Cu, Mn or Zn when these fungicides are used.

Using tomato as an example, this document lists the common sources of micronutrients in common fungicides, estimates micronutrient applications for an entire crop, and discusses the availability of these micronutrients. This document does not encourage the use of fungicides for the purpose of micronutrient applications to crops, but indicates that contributions

The Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS) is an Equal Opportunity Institution authorized to provide research, educational information and other services only to individuals and institutions that function with non-discrimination with respect to race, creed, color, religion, age, disability, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, national origin, political opinions or affiliations. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service, University of Florida, IFAS, Florida A. & M. University Cooperative Extension Program, and Boards of County Commissioners Cooperating. Interim Dean Millie Ferrer.

^{1.} This document is HS1159, one of a series of the Horticultural Sciences Department, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. Original publication date, August 2009. Visit the EDIS Web site at http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu.

^{2.} Bee Ling Poh, graduate student, Horticultural Sciences Department; Amanda Gevens, assistant professor, Plant Pathology Department; Eric Simonne, associate professor, Horticultural Sciences Department, and extension director, Northeast Florida District; and Crystal Snodgrass, extension agent I, Manatee County, Palmetto, Fla., Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.

The use of trade names in this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information. UF/IFAS does not guarantee or warranty the products named, and references to them in this publication does not signify approval to the exclusion of other products of suitable composition. All chemicals should be used in accordance with directions on the manufacturer's label. Use pesticides safely. Read and follow directions on the manufacturer's label.

Estimating Copper, Manganese and Zinc Micronutrients in Fungicide Applications

of micronutrients from fungicides should not be ignored.

Unit of Expression Used for Micronutrients

The amount of metal in the fungicide is stated in fungicide labels, often expressed as "percent metallic equivalent" for copper and sometimes as elemental copper. For manganese and zinc, the percent metallic equivalent is used in *maneb* and *ziram*, respectively. However, in *mancozeb*, the amounts are expressed as percentages of Mn++ and Zn++.

When the label states that the product contains 50% metallic copper equivalent, it means that there are 50 lbs Cu/100 lbs fungicide. Similarly, a fungicide with 16% Mn++ means that 100 lbs of the fungicide contain 16 lbs of Mn++.

Micronutrients Found in Common Metal-based Fungicides

Many copper fungicides such as *Kocide* and *Champ* are formulated using copper hydroxide as the active ingredient and may contain as much as 50% metallic copper equivalent. Manganese-containing fungicides with the active ingredient maneb include *Maneb 80WP* and contain 16.5% Mn equivalent. The most common Mn-containing fungicides are those made with *mancozeb* as the active ingredient. These fungicides, such as. *Dithane* or *Manzate*, may contain up to 16% Mn++ and 2% Zn++. Newer products available for commercial use may contain all three elements. For example, *ManKocide* 61.1 DF contains 30% Cu, 3% Mn and 0.4% Zn.

Quantities of Micronutrients Added through Fungicide Applications

Metal-based fungicides are usually protectant fungicides that need to be applied onto plant surfaces prior to pathogen infection in order to reduce the incidence of the infection. In a standard preventive fungicide program, metal-based products could be applied several times during a growing season, typically at application intervals of 7-10 days. Depending on the types of fungicides used, plant micronutrient needs may be met through fungicide applications (Table 2). For example, in a tomato crop, as much as 12 lbs per acre of Cu are applied per season through the use of Kocide 101, which far exceeds the UF/IFAS recommended micronutrient application rate of 1.25 lbs Cu per acre. However, if liquid copper is used, it may be necessary to supplement with foliar fertilizer to correct any Cu deficiency noted in testing. When *Bonide Liquid Copper* is used (and it often is used for home vegetable gardens), the maximum amount of Cu added per season is only 1.8 lbs per acre.

Similarly, high amounts of Mn are supplied through fungicide applications if *maneb* (*Maneb* 80WP) or *mancozeb* (*Dithane* M45) are used at the maximum rates during the season. However, Zn contribution from *mancozeb*-based fungicide applications is low as the Zn content of such fungicides is low. By contrast, substantial amounts of Zn are applied through the use of high-Zn fungicides such as *Ziram* 76DF (16.3% Zn).

Micronutrient Availability

The discussion above focused on calculating the total quantities of Cu, Mn or Zn applied under several disease-control programs. However, a basic principle of plant nutrition is that the presence of a nutrient does not equate with plant-availability. This principle applies regardless of whether the nutrient is derived from fertilizer or fungicide.

Soil pH is a major factor affecting nutrient availability. A high soil pH can immobilize micronutrients, which become unavailable to plants. Current UF/IFAS standardized recommendations call for maintaining soil pH between 6.0 to 6.5, but most sandy soils in South Florida have pH as high as 8.0 due to accidental over-liming or use of alkaline irrigation water (Simonne and Hochmuth 2009). In such a case, it would be necessary to provide periodic applications of micronutrients to correct any deficiency.

In regards to plant availability of micronutrients from fungicidal sources, two other factors need to be considered, as well -- uptake interference between micronutrients and type of formulations.

Estimating Copper, Manganese and Zinc Micronutrients in Fungicide Applications

The application of copper fungicides has been found to interfere with the uptake of other micronutrients, such as Zn (Sonmez *et al* 2007). If fungicides were applied as tank-mixes, eg. Cu fungicide mixed with Mn/Zn fungicide, Cu uptake may suppress Mn and Zn uptake by the plants. It would be necessary to monitor the plants for signs of Mn or Zn deficiency after such a mixed application.

Additionally, while absorption of Cu and Mn from fungicides is well documented (Sonmez et al 2007, Kaplan 1999, Deckers et al 1997, Shu et al 1992, Mollenhauer and Smith 1954, Emge and Linn 1952), reports documenting Zn absorption from fungicides are mixed. It has been determined that Zn in certain formulations such as ziram (zinc dimethyldithiocarbamate) is not as readily absorbed (Mollenhauer and Smith 1954) compared to Zn from zineb (zinc ethylene bis-dithiocarbamate) (Pire 1987, Emge and Linn 1952). Care should be taken when interpreting micronutrient contributions from Zn fungicides, and a plant tissue test should be used to check for Zn sufficiency after the fungicidal spray. Similarly, for new formulations of Cu and Mn, it should not be taken for granted that they will contribute micronutrients as the nutrient may be in a form that is not absorbable by the plant.

How to Determine Micronutrient Contribution from Other Fungicides for Other Crops

For fungicides of other crops, read the label to find out how much "% metallic equivalent" of the micronutrient is present in the fungicide and the recommended amount in "lb per acre of fungicide" to be applied. Multiply the "% metallic equivalent" to the "lb per acre of fungicide" to determine the total contribution of nutrient from the fungicide.

Take note of possible factors that would affect the availability of nutrients from the fungicides -such as soil pH, interferences from using tank mixes and type of formulations. Do not assume that once the fungicide is applied, micronutrients in the fungicide will be available to the plants. If not sure, monitor closely after the fungicidal spray, and do a plant-tissue test for the micronutrient if necessary.

Conclusion

Micronutrients Cu, Mn and Zn are supplied through metal-based fungicide applications, but only Cu and Mn are applied in sufficient quantities to meet crop needs, depending on the type of fungicide, amount of nutrient in the fungicide and the maximum rate applied per crop season.

Careful calculations of the amount of micronutrients from fungicides and consideration of possible factors affecting availability are needed to reach a meaningful decision regarding whether additional micronutrient fertilizers should be applied.

Rather than relying solely on the information provided here, growers should use the label of new products or formulations to determine the amount of micronutrients supplied by their fungicide program.

Literature Cited

Deckers, T., E. Daemen, K. Lemmens and C. Missotten. 1997. Influence of Foliar Applications of Mn During Summer on the Fruit Quality of Jonagold. Acta Horticulturae 448: 467-474.

Emge, R. G. and M. B. Linn. 1952. Effect of Spraying with Zineb on the Growth and Content of the Tomato Plant. Phytopathology 42: 133-136.

Hochmuth, G., D. Maynard, C. Vavrina, E. Hanlon and E. Simonne. 2004. Plant Tissue Analysis and Interpretation for Vegetable Crops in Florida. http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/EP081, EDIS Publication HS964. Department of Horticultural Sciences, Fla Coop. Ext Ser. IFAS, Univ. of Fla., Gainesville, FL.

Mollenhauer, R. and C. B. Smith. 1954. Tomato Plant Absorption and Translocation of Manganese and Zinc from Dithiocarbamate Fungicide Sprays. Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science 63:297-303.

Kaplan M. 1999. Accumulation of Copper in Soils and Leaves of Tomato Plants in Greenhouses in Turkey. Journal of Plant Nutrition 22:237-244.

Olson, S.M., W.M. Stall, G.E. Vallad, S.E. Webb, T.G. Taylor, S.A. Smith, and E.H. Simonne. 2009. Tomato Production in Florida, pp. 291-312. In:

Estimating Copper, Manganese and Zinc Micronutrients in Fungicide Applications

S.M. Olson and E. Simonne (Eds.) Vegetable Production Handbook for Florida, Vance Publishing, Lenexa, KS.

Pire, R. 1987. Correction of Zinc Deficiency in Grapevine by Treating Pruning Wounds. Acta Horticulturae 199:157-161.

Shu, Z. H., T. F. Sheen, S. L. Lin and K. C. Lee. 1992. Effects of Microelement-containing Pesticides on Nutrient Concentration of Mango Leaves. Acta Horticulturae 321:553-560.

Simonne, E. H. and G. J. Hochmuth. 2009. Soil and Fertilizer Management for Vegetable Production in Florida, pp.3-16. In: S.M. Olson and E. Simonne (Eds.) Vegetable Production Handbook for Florida, Vance Publishing, Lenexa, KS.

Sonmez, S., M. Kaplan, N.K. Sonmez, H. Kay and I. Uz. 2007. Effect of Soil Copper and Foliar Copper Applications on Micronutrient Contents of Tomato Plants. Asian Journal of Chemistry 19(5):3929-3940.

os ^{z,y}
ğ
Ö
table
/ege
6
st
ent
itri
JUC 2
<u>i</u>
Σ
ð
Suc
atic
ii
0
9
ır Ap
oliar Ap
Foliar Ap
for Foliar Ap
ns for Foliar Ap
tions for Foliar Ap
idations for Foliar Ap
nendations for Foliar Ap
mmendations for Foliar Ap
commendations for Foliar Ap
Recommendations for Foliar Ap
S Recommendations for Foliar Ap
FAS Recommendations for Foliar Ap
IF/IFAS Recommendations for Foliar Ap
. UF/IFAS Recommendations for Foliar Ap
91. UF/IFAS Recommendations for Foliar Ap
ble 1. UF/IFAS Recommendations for Foliar Ap

Nutrient	Source	Foliar application (lb product per acre)	Product nutrient content (%)	Estimated amount of nutrient based on highest application rate
				(Ib per acre)
Boron	Borax	2 - 5	11	0.55
	Solubor	1 - 1.5	21	0.32
Copper	Copper sulfate	2 - 5	25	1.25
Iron	Ferrous sulfate	2 - 3	20	0.60
	Chelated iron	0.75 - 1	5 - 12	0.12
Manganese	Manganous sulfate	2 - 4	28	1.12
Molybdenum	Sodium molybdate	0.25 - 0.50	39	0.20
Zinc	Zinc sulfate	2 - 4	36	1.44
	Chelated zinc	0.75 - 1	6 - 14	0.14

	, L								
	Wu W								
+ +	(+ + +	+ + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + +	+ + + + + +	+ + + + + + +	+ + + + + + + ·	+ + + + + + + + + +
+ 0:9	Per	+ +		12.6 6.0 + + + +	9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 9.6 12 9.6 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	12:0 9.6 + + +	7 12 9 6 6 6 7 12 9 6 6 6 7 12 9 6 6 6	6.0 12.0 1	6.0 7.1 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2 7.2
1.0	her		1.1	2.1 5. 1.1	1.0 1.1 2.1 2.1	2.0	1.0 1.1 1.1 1.6 2.1 2.0 2.1 2.0 1.2 1.2	0.3 2.0 5. 1.1 1.0 0.3 1.2 2.0 5. 1.1 1.0	1.0 1.1 1.2 2.0 1.2 2.1 0.3 0.3
24.4 or 3 b/A)		24.4 or 3 b/A) 35	24.4 or 3 b/A) 35 37.5	24.4 or 3 b/A) 35 37.5 53 53	24.4 or 3 b/A) 35 37.5 53 40	24.4 or 3 b/A) 35 37.5 53 40 50	24.4 or 3 35 35 37.5 53 40 40 40 40	24.4 or 3 35 35 37.5 53 53 50 40 1.8	24.4 or 3 35 35 37.5 53 53 53 7.5 53 7.5 53 7.5 53 7.5 53 7.5 7 83.1 1.8 1.8
(or O		NN Ib/	00 Ib/ NS 37 37	00 Ib/ NS 33 33 37	NS NS 33 NS NS 33 NS NS 33 NS 4	NS NS 33 NS NS 33 NS NS 33	NS N	NS N	NS N
	4 lbs	3 lbs	3 lbs 1.3 lbs	3 lbs 1.3 lbs 4 lbs	3 lbs 1.3 lbs 4 lbs 4 lbs	3 lbs 1.3 lbs 4 lbs 4 lbs 4 lbs	3 lbs 1.3 lbs 4 lbs 4 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs	3 lbs 1.3 lbs 4 lbs 4 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 2 gals	3 lbs 1.3 lbs 4 lbs 4 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 2 gals 2 lbs 2 lbs
		Copper hydroxide	Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide	Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Tribasic copper sulfate monohydrate	Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Tribasic copper sulfate monohydrate Copper hydroxide	Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Tribasic copper sulfate monohydrate Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide	Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Tribasic copper sulfate monohydrate Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Basic copper sulfate	Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Tribasic copper sulfate monohydrate Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Basic copper sulfate Copper octanoate	Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Tribasic copper sulfate monohydrate Copper hydroxide Copper hydroxide Basic copper sulfate Copper octanoate Copper octanoate Copper octanoate
-		Kocide 2000 53.8 DF	Kocide 2000 53.8 DF Champ 57.6 DP	Kocide 2000 53.8 DF Champ 57.6 DP Basicop 53 WP	Kocide 2000 53.8 DF Champ 57.6 DP Basicop 53 WP Kocide 61.4	Kocide 2000 53.8 DF Champ 57.6 DP WP WP Kocide 61.4 DF Nu Cop 50 WP	Kocide 2000 53.8 DF Champ 57.6 DP Basicop 53 WP Kocide 61.4 DF Nu Cop 50 WP Cuprofix Disperss Ultra 40 DF	Kocide 2000 53.8 DF Champ 57.6 DP Basicop 53 WP Kocide 61.4 Nu Cop 50 WP Cuprofix DF Nu Cop 50 WP Cuprofix Disperss Ultra 40 DF Liquid Copper ^u	Kocide 2000 53.8 DF Champ 57.6 DP Basicop 53 WP Kocide 61.4 DF Nu Cop 50 WP DF Nu Cop 50 WP Ultra 40 DF Bonide Liquid Copper ^u Ridomil Gold Copper 64.8

Table 2. List of Fungicides Containing Copper, Manganese or Zinc and Commonly Used in Tomato Production in Florida

Estimating Copper, Manganese and Zinc Micronutrients in Fungicide Applications

eason Per Per + Applic Season	eason Per + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	eason Per Per Applic Season + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	eason Per Per + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	eason Per + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + 0.06 + 0.43 + 0.06 0.47 - + 0.06 0.42 -	eason Per Applic Season + - - + - 0.06 0.43 + 0.06 0.43 - - 0.06 0.47 - - 0.06 0.42 -	eason Per Applic Season + - - + - 0.06 0.43 + 0.06 0.43 - + 0.06 0.47 - + 0.06 0.47 - + 0.06 0.42 - + 0.03 0.42 -
3.45 +	3.45 + 3.45 + 3.70 + 3.47 + 3.	3.45 + 3.45 + 3.70 + 3.47 + 3.36 + 0.06	3.45 + 3.45 + 3.45 + 3.47 + 0.06 3.36 + 0.06 3.36 + 0.06 3.36 + 0.06	3.45 + 3.70 + 3.47 + 3.36 + 0.06 3.36 + 0.06 3.36 +	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
4 0.45.0 +	49 3.45 50 3.70 50 3.47	49 50 3.45 + 50 3.47 + + 45 3.36 + +	49 3.70 3.45 45 3.36 4 48 3.36 4 48 3.36 4	49 3.70 3.45 49 48 45 3.36 417 4 48 3.36 4 4 4 48 3.36 4 4 4	49 3.70 45 50 3.70 4 45 3.36 4 4 4 48 3.36 4 4 4 32 0.96 4 4 4	49 50 3.70 + 50 3.70 + + 51 3.36 + + 48 3.36 + + 27 2.13 + -
2	3 3 3 0.50 0 0	0.50 0.50 0.50 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45	0.45 0.48 0.48 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40 0.40	0.50 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.48 0.4	0.32 0.48 0.50 3.3 3.4 0.50 0	0.50 0.50 3. <th< td=""></th<>
		6 [.]	6. 6. 6. 6.	2.0 0.9 2.0	1 6. 0 9. 1 1.6 2.0 2.0 1.9 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	2:0 2:0 1.7 1.7
	AI) 16.5 16.5	AI) 16.5 16.5 15	AI) 16.5 16.5 15 7.4 (or 4 Ib/gal	AI) 16.5 16.5 15 15 15 AI) 16 AI) 16	AI) 16.5 16.5 15 7.4 (or 4 AI) AI) 16 AI) 112.8	Al) 16.5 16.5 15 15 16 Al) 16 116 112.8 13.3
	22.4 lbs 21 lbs	22.4 lbs 21 lbs 22.4 lbs	22.4 lbs 21 lbs 22.4 lbs 16.8 qts (4.2 gal)	22.4 lbs 21 lbs 22.4 lbs 16.8 qts (4.2 gal) 21 lbs	22.4 lbs 21 lbs 22.4 lbs 16.8 qts (4.2 gal) 21 lbs 21 lbs 7.5 lbs	22.4 lbs 21 lbs 22.4 lbs 16.8 qts (4.2 gal) 21 lbs 7.5 lbs 16 lbs
	3 lbs 3 lbs	3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs	3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs (0.6 gal)	3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs	3 lbs 3 lbs 5 lbs 3 lbs 5 lbs 3 lbs 2 lbs	3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 3 lbs 2.4 qts (0.6 9al) 3 lbs 2.5 lbs 2 lbs 2 lbs
	Maneb Maneb	Maneb Maneb Mancozeb	Maneb Mancozeb Mancozeb	Maneb Mancozeb Mancozeb Mancozeb	Maneb Mancozeb Mancozeb Mancozeb Mancozeb, mefenoxam	Maneb Mancozeb Mancozeb Mancozeb Mancozeb, Mancozeb, Mancozeb,
HoneM	Maneb 80WP	75DF Maneb 80WP B1thane, Manzate, Penncozeb	75DF Maneb 80WP 80WP Bothane, Manzate, Penncozeb 75DF 75DF Dithane F45, Manex II 4FL	75DF Maneb 80WP 80WP Bowr Manzate, 75DF Dithane F45, Manex II 4FL Dithane M45, Bowr 80WP, Manzate 80WP	75DF Maneb 80WP BoWP Manzate, 75DF Dithane F45, Manex II 4FL Dithane M45, Penncozeb 80WP, Manzate 80WP 80WP	75DF Maneb 80WP BoWP Manzate, Penncozeb 75DF Dithane F45, Manex II 4FL Dithane M45, Penncozeb 80WP, Manzate 80WP Ridomil MZ 68 WP Gavel 75DF

Table 2. List of Fungicides Containing Copper, Manganese or Zinc and Commonly Used in Tomato Production in Florida

Estimating Copper, Manganese and Zinc Micronutrients in Fungicide Applications

Applications	
Fungicide /	
ronutrients in	
and Zinc Mic	
Manganese	
ng Copper,	
Estimatir	

ω

Table 2. List of Fungicides Containing Copper, Manganese or Zinc and Commonly Used in Tomato Production in Florida

Fungicide ^z	Active Ingredient	Product I	Max Rate^y acre)	Metal	lic Equivale (%)	∋nt ^w		An	iount Appl	ied (lb per acr	e) ^t	
		Per	Per	c	μ	z		Cu		Mn		.5
		Applic	Season [×]				Per	Per	Per	Per Season	Per	Per
							Applic	Season ^v	Applic		Applic	Season
^z Mention of tra	ade names is solely for	the purpose	of providing sp	ecific infor	mation and	does not	imply a rec	ommendation	over simila	· products. Alwa	ays read and	follow
the instruction:	s on the product labels.											
^y See Olson et	al., 2009 for complete	disease-man	agement recoi	nmendatio	ns for toma	ito grown	in Statepla	ceFlorida				
× NS= None S	pecified on the label											
^w Specimen lat	bels of fungicides are o	btained from	Crop Data Ma	nagement	Systems, Ir	http://	www.cdms	.net/LabelsMsc	ds/LMDefau	llt.aspx) excep	t for those st	ated
below:												
Koci	de 101, http://msds.dup	ont.com/msd	s/pdfs/EN/PEI	N_09004a3	358023d1e0).pdf						
Koc	ide 4.5 LF, http://msds.	dupont.com/r	nsds/pdfs/EN/	PEN_0900	4a358023c	96d.pdf						
Boni	de liquid copper, http://	www.bonidep	roducts.com/r	etail_suppo	ort/new_ima	ages/inde	k.php					
Man	zate 75DF, http://www.h	nort.wisc.edu	/cran/mgt_arti	cles/article:	s_pest_mgt	/labels_r	sds/labels/	nanzate%207	5DF.pdf			
Man	izate 80WP, http://aeso	p.rutgers.edu	ı/~plantbiopath	n/links/bbcp	bestweb/Cr	anberryLa	ibels/manz	ate80.pdf				
Man	nex II 4FL, http://www.h	ort.wisc.edu/a	cran/mgt_articl	es/articles	_pest_mgt/l	abels_m	sds/labels/N	lanex%20ll.pd	f			
^v Assuming six	applications in one sea	ason (unless o	otherwise state	ed) based (on a 70-day	growing	period with	fungicide spra	ys applied ;	at 10-day interv	als	
^u Bonide liquid	copper is commonly us	sed for home	vegetable gro	wing. The	label does r	not indica	e maximun	n rate of produ	ct to use pe	r acre, and the	rate used he	ere is
based on its p	arent product, <i>Cueva</i> fu	Ingicide. The	label states a	1.8% meta	allic copper	equivaler	t, which is a	assumed to be	1.8% w/v.			
^t "+" indicates 1	the amounts provided b	y fungicides	when used at I	naximum ı	ates for the	season a	are equal to	or greater tha	n those of t	he recommend	ed fertilizer r	ate;
"-" indicates lo	wer amounts from fung	icides than th	ie recommend	ed fertilize	r rate.							